THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

W.P.M.P.No.36229 OF 2005
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.2045 OF 2002

DATED: 26TH JUNE, 2006.

Between :

Shaik Abjal Bee … Petitioner

And

1. Lok Adalat Bench constituted by the
   Krishna District Legal Services Authority
   At Vijayawada, Krishna District, rep. by
   Its Secretary and 4 others. .. Respondents

THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

W.P.M.P.No.36229 OF 2005
AND
WRIT PETITION NO.2045 OF 2002

ORDER :

The main writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the award dated 21-4-2001 passed by the 1st respondent in M.V.O.P.No.571 of 2000 whereunder the compensation was directed to be paid to the 3rd respondent herein who is the wife of the deceased as arbitrary and illegal. The writ petitioner is the mother of the deceased, who also claims a share in the compensation. During pendency of this writ petition, the petitioner and the 3rd respondent entered into a compromise, agreeing that out of the compensation awarded the writ petitioner herein shall receive a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) and the 3rd respondent is entitled to take Rs.1,30,000/- (Rupees One lakh thirty thousand only). Accordingly, they filed a joint petition being W.P.M.P.No.36229 of 2005 with a prayer to dispose of the writ petition in terms of the said compromise.

Both the writ petitioner and the 3rd respondent are present before this Court and admitted the contents of the Memorandum of Compromise. They are identified by the respective Counsel appearing for the parties. The thumb impression of the writ petitioner Shaik Abjal Bee W/o. Mira Saheb on the compromise deed was attested by Sri P. Durgesh, advocate at Khammam. Similarly, K. Krishna Rao, Advocate, Jaggaiahpet attested the thumb impression of the 3rd respondent Shaik Khasim Bee, W/o. Khasim Saheb. W.P.M.P.No.36229 of 2005

has been filed which was signed by both the parties as well as their Counsel Sri M. Rajamalla Reddy and Sri P.Prabhakara Rao. The 4th respondent - National Insurance Company Limited and the 5th respondent being the owner of vehicle are not necessary parties for deciding the controversy involved in this writ petition.

Accordingly, W.P.M.P.No.36229 of 2005 is ordered and the Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of compromise. No costs.

G. ROHINI, J.

Dt. 26-6-2006
gbs

Note:- CC in one week.